Infatuation with the Zapatistas reveals the crisis in broad sectors of the libertarian milicu that are incapable of defending internationalist positions in the face of the consequences of the capitalist globalisation that is taking place.

One moves from Marcos to Guy Debord without the slightest hesitation, social movements in open revolt against the system are put at the same level as the great patriotic masses of the EZLN. Everything is the same and lack of clarity abounds. Even more serious is this milicu's willing submission to the identity-based and nationalist ideas that are at the heart of the Zapatista project.

The EZLN is double-dealing the young lumpenproletarians who make up its base. It provides them with a collective identity at a time of intense social destructuring, but channels their revolt into a military framework, thus making it controllable and negotiable in high places. The EZLN is a factor of social pacification in Chiapas today and its leaders do not hesitate to point this out.

Unfortunately, in Chiapas we are far from seeing the beginnings of a transformation of social relations, let alone a subversion of capitalist relations.

Beyond the Balaclavas of South East Mexico

Charles Reeve, Sylvie Deneuve, Marc Geoffroy

Elephant Editions

Beyond the Balaclavas of South East Mexico

Elephant Editions

Original title:

Au-dela des passe-montagnes du Sud-Est mexicain, Collection Breve / Meteorite (3), Paris 1996

Elephant Editions - London - 2003

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

liberation', even though populist politicians continue to exploit it. In the majority of cases over the last few years at the international level, ideologies or movements that base themselves on ethnic identity have resulted, in the short term, in the diversion and disarticulation of class-based movements that opposed false divisions. What is more, as soon as it looses its class character, indigenism becomes a prisoner of the interests of political elites that are looking for an easy way of identifying themselves with the 'people'. Moreover, via its cultural manifestations, Andean indigenism directly serves the economic interests of those who are speculating on everything relating to indigenous culture and history by exploiting precisely those 'indigenous people' whose identity is fetishized and cynically celebrated on the tourist market. Here the irony becomes painful. Everything 'indigenous' is put at the service of the tourist industry. This industry is in turn in the service of the much-venerated national 'development' or 'progress'. Sometimes it is even seen as the principal element. But isn't this 'development' the pretext in whosae name indigenous people have been brutalised and marginalised for 500 years? The sale of indigenous culture is the guarantee of its disappearance. Notes

80. The two latter ones had a demands-oriented character impregnated with social-revolutionary elements which went well beyond a strictly 'indigenist' platform, as they have a tendency to tell it in the official version of history. We have in mind the metis origins of the Tupac Amaru II and the anarchist influence in the Tahuantinsuyo movement in particular—an influence that could be seen in their ideology of the exploited people of the whole world, of all cultures and of ofall races. See Flores Gafindo, Société coloniale et soulévements populaires, 1976 and Kapsoli, Ayllus du soleil—anarchisme et utopie andine, 1984.

81. Whereas dependency in the traditional sense corresponds to the presence of foreign capital in essential industries (Petroperu, the Tintaya mines, etc), this 'new dependency' is based on the service industry and cultural production that characterise tourism, as well as the acceptance of the principles imposed by the LMF and the World Bank. As a result, Peru is on its way to becoming a country of beggars: from the children that beg at doorways of the tourist restaurants and bistros to the professional who struggles to obtain aid from outside the country.

By dependency we mean a universal capital-proletariat relationship, not a fixed relationship between countries or geographical blocs.

export. This important cottage industry forms the foundation of a new dependency that is establishing itself in the country.⁸¹ A dependency that does not liberate but which, on the contrary, reduces the producers to slavery. Anyone who observes the social and working conditions of the people who find themselves at the base of the pyramid of this 'indigenous industry' can see this for themselves.

In the final analysis, indigenous culture today largely obeys the laws of the market. These are the laws that define and distort it, according to the needs of the same market. Culture is part of the tourist industry, which is an industry like all the others where exploitation prevails.

Meanwhile, the social struggles associated with indigenous demands are relegated to the 'living muscums' of ruins, monuments and archives. The image of the power of the Inca empire is used to render its present-day descendents powerless by maintaining a culture of submission to all forms of authority. Indigenism has become an historical burden on the shoulders of the multitudes forced to bear it. Day and night, Pachaelitec on one side and the White Cross on the other, watch over the movements of the inhabitants of Cuzco like George Orwell's 'Big Brother'. These two monuments represent old legends that from now on will serve to reinforce the fear and submission of the population. The day they fall will be a happy one for the men and women who seek the path of their emancipation.

Conclusion

We have situated the rebirth of the indigenist idea in the global context of ethnic nationalism and its search for an historical identity. Contrary to an indigenism which overthrew the political framework as in Mexico, indigenism in Peru has lost its former mask as an 'ideology of

INTRODUCTION

Up until now the revolutionary principle has struggled against this or that established order, that is to say, it has been reformist.

Max Stirner

Books, conferences, videos, T-shirts, stickers, marches, committees and benefits abound, showing the many expressions of what has been defined as 'the international of hope'. Yet no critique has been published on 'insurgent Chiapas' and the Zapatista National Liberation Army from the subversive point of view. Many anarchists have also given their contribution, without a word of criticism. Why is this?

Texts on the question, especially the EZLN communiques and documents, certainly provide food for thought (for example: the organisation of the territories controlled by the 'Zapatistas', the creation of a 'revolutionary provisional government', the imposition of 'revolutionary taxes', 'revolutionary laws' and even 'revolutionary prisons'). But why talk of the Zapatista army as though it were an organisation that has gone beyond Marxism-Leninism, a libertarian experiment etc?

Because one only sees what one wants to see. In other words, the Zapatista ideology is merely one more indication of the misery that exists generally. The spectacle has contributed to all this: the image of the balaclava, the mystery of the forest, the fascination of exotic places; then

there is Marcos, with his poetic texts ('gay in San Francisco, anarchist in Spain...', 'a country where the right to dance will be recognised by the Constitution...') and his skill in toying with the concept of power. What has contributed more than anything, however, is the lack of perspective, the fetid united front of a left which has ended up defending the right to work and democratic guarantees against the 'neo-liberalism' which everyone, from Stalinists to anarchists, claims to be fighting, and the absence of any revolutionary discourse which, far beyond the void of historical celebration, might put the radical problem—the destruction of the State, the abolition of the economy and generalised self-management—in radical terms.

Lack of ideas and desires blinds us twice over. First, by concealing the real nature of the organisational forms that the exploited are developing in social confrontation all over the world (in this particular case, the metbods of the EZLN and so-called 'indigenous autonomy'). Second, by leading the problem of these forms and contents away from in the concrete arena of insurrectional rebellion where they belong. On the other hand, why on earth should those who consider rebellion here at borne or the suggestion that the State does not collapse on its own but that something concrete needs to be done about it to be wild and reckless, get enthusiastic about guerrilla warfare in exotic faraway places? Does something link the image of the 'Zapatista' balaclava to the daily lives of those who work, consume, vote and pay taxes—something akin to passivity, that they might even defend with arms?

The rating of EZLN's combative facade has actually fallen recently in the stock exchange of revolutionary ideologies. Their agreement with the French institutional left, the moving embrace of Marcos and the leader of the Italian reformed Communist Party (Rifondazione Communista), Bertinotti, has perhaps disappointed those who had troubled the insur-

system without too many contradictions. Such a situation is not a new thing. Even during the colonial epoch, the Inca empire was the focus of the great myths which glorified it while the indigenous descendants of the Incas continued to be exploited. Since then, the indigenous population has experienced a double slavery: relative to its real masters and relative to its own past.

2. Indigenous Culture as a Commercial Product

Indigenism is a discourse that claims to valorise popular culture. But what is this culture?

Capitalism tends to turn into commodities everything that concerns human social life, and the culture of a people does not escape the rule. This economic activity guarantees the well-being of a minority. It is precisely this minority (middle and ruling classes) that now profits from indigenous culture through its economic contacts with the outside world, such as tourism, for example. The image of the 'Indian' with his romantic poverty illustrates the tourist brochures and attracts visitors who spend their money in the hotels, stores, restaurants and other places of consumption. But do these same profits made from popular culture benefit the working classes? Those who believe that tourism is the best economic choice for Peru should consider the cases of countries like Brazil or Mexico. These countries gain a much greater revenue from tourism than Peru does. Yet they are countries where social poverty has become particularly acute.

The current process of privatisation of the tourist industry bas no other purpose than the enrichment of a small group that is spending millions of dollars to purchase businesses that have been put up for sale.

As a result of its commercialisation, indigenous culture is undergoing a standardisation of its craftwork and clothing made for sale and

risks. They evoke 500 years of resistance, but the only resistance that really interests them is that of the colonial period. Their indigenism is directly connected to their nationalist plan for a 'united Peru', in which they would like to integrate the indigenous populations, thus avoiding having to face the conflicts that are destroying the social fabric of a country following the path of capitalist development.

This peculiar historical vision of indigenism expresses itself clearly in one of its innumerable cultural manifestations: the mural by Juan Carlos Bravo, situated in the Avenue of the Sun. Without wanting to call its finer qualities into question, we should remark that in it, social struggles are illustrated only up to national independence. Having reached this moment in history, the artist suddenly carries us toward a florid dawn in which the entire people gaze at a rainbow. This historical leap from 1821 to our times is nothing other than the official representation of the last century and a half. All the trade union, peasant and guerilla struggles, and others, that marked the 'Red Cuzco' of those years so deeply are quite simply crased from the work, crased from history. As if social conflicts disappeared in the XIXth century with the advent of independence and the intensification of capitalist development.

Indigenism today allows the regional authorities and their intellectual allies in private and public institutions to identify with the oppressed thanks to an incomplete and mythified history which they disseminate via the multiple cultural and pedagogical channels that they themselves control. This is how they try to justify their status as representatives of the people in the face of a population that has always distrusted the elites that claim to speak in its name.

When indigenism is separated from a liberatory vision based on actual realities and manipulated from above, it can serve the dominant gents of the Asturias, Durruti or Flores Magon in their search for historical paragons with which to justify their support for the Zapatista national liberation army. There are no doubt plenty of less demanding supporters waiting to take their place.

The following texts contain—for the first time—the necessary criticism of the EZLN and commercial indigenism. Basic common sense, if you like. Everyone will be able to find something to think about in them. But for our part we would like to take a quick look at the 'International of Hope'—that is, at the Zapatista movement—before ending these short notes. It is interesting to read some of the transcriptions and summaries of discussions that took place during the intercontinental ('intergalactic') meeting that took place in Chiapas in August 1996. As far as the economy is concerned (a question specifically addressed by one of the five 'debating tables') the following premise can be found: 'The globalism of neo-liberalism makes it necessary to think in terms of equally global alternatives. The struggle must be at world level.' We can quite agree with the concept of globalism, in abstract. It is when it comes to doing something about it that problems arise. As we all know, it is not the answers but the questions that reveal the nature of a project.

Let us look at some of the points raised. 'There is an urgent need to regain power over economic policies so as to solve problems such as the workers' situation and wage disparity'. Who, for instance, can face the 'problem' of wage disparity, if not those who impose taxes, i.e. the government? So, to whom is this question being addressed? What they mean by the 'globalism of neo-liberalism' becomes evident in the following phrase: 'Neo-liberalism also strikes countries that have an economic administration such as Cuba, victim of an intensification of the United States' embargo.' Is it that Cuba with its bureaucratic capitalism is to supply an example of work and 'income equality'? Or that 'neo-liberal-

ism' represents a kind of inhuman exaggeration by capital, which could somehow be attenuated? But let us move on to the 'global' proposals. 'We propose launching the following slogan aimed at setting off struggles that can be reproduced at world level: writing off (some are simply talking about a reduction!) the debts of the poor countries; lowering interest rates; self-organisation of debtors; reduced working hours, equal wages and the creation of struggle networks of workers, unemployed, excluded, etc.' Again: Who can write off the poor countries' debts? Who are the 'debtors' who should be self-organising? Questions can never be revolutionary—global in other words—if the answers depend on the enemy, i.e., the bosses. The struggles of the exploited would be no more than a means of putting pressure on the State and capital (the underlying theory of social democracy), not the real possibility of the revolutionary destruction of the latter. An 'extremist' development of this discourse, the taking over of the management of power (and definitely not generalised selfmanagement), is in fact Leninist.

What is meant by globalism is therefore nothing but broad reformism, a Political International. A discourse does not become global simply by using the same slogans everywhere, or through exchanges in information. A global dimension is reached when all social relations and all the conditions of life come under criticism: when problems are faced concretely, i.e. in their whole context. A struggle for a reduction in working hours—a problem now being faced by capital itself by through the spectacle and the reserve army of consumers—does not become global because it takes place in Belgium, Spain, Italy, Mexico or who knows where at the same time. Global implies criticising the very concept of work, as wages, social organisation, the power of commodities, moral sacrifice, etc., irrespective of the number of those involved

Other debates merely confirm the above. In the transcription of

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

1. The Political and Cultural Expressions of 'Indigenism seen from above'

The mayor of Cuzco, Daniel Estrada, has decided to exchange his leftist identity for that of an 'independent indigenist'. His electoral vehicle, the 'United Front', wishes to be a force that will please everyone. Like the movement of Javier Perez de Cucllar. Estrada's opposition to Fujimori can be explained fundamentally by the threat of the latter's centralising policies relative to all the regional governors, like Estrada, Belmont, Caceres and others. It is their own power which is at stake. The mayor of Cuzco thus represents a political current, linked to a broad sector of the regional intelligentsia, that has detached itself from a political identity to avoid being identified with 'outdated' ideological tendencies. This is how they found a new identity in 'indigenism'—until then a mere populist appendage of their discourse. This identity reveals itself insistantly in the most varied fields: in university lectures and meetings of NGOs, in monuments crected by the municipality and in grants given to publications. These new indigenists are trying to identify themselves with the working classes, given that at certain historical moments, indigenism (like all forms of nationalism) assumed the form of a 'banner of the oppressed'. We refer in particular to the resistance movements led by Tupae Aniaru the First, in the XIth century, and by Tupac Amaru the Second in the XVIIth century, as well as the Tahuantinsuyo movement between 1905 and 193980.

The new indigenist vanguard wants to profit from the fame of these revolutionaries without having to pay the price. The objective was to appropriate the image of the revolutionary without having to run the

Supplement 2

This text, written by lihertarians in Peru, was published in January 1995 in the magazine *Contrafluxo*, based in Medellin (Colombia).

'Indigenism' and Power

A political and commercial traffic in the name of the people—or now indigenous culture' is becoming a hall for politicians to toss back and

forth, and one more commodity

Every day in an increasingly obvious way, we see how the collapse of authoritarian socialism has led to the flight of its professional partisans (intellectuals, politicians, members of the NGOs) toward two complementary ideological refuges: 'democratic' socialism and regional nationalism or 'indigenism seen from above'. The first is nothing but social democracy: the system that presents economic power in the hands of a minority that no one elects in the name of capitalism with a human face. But it's the second that we will he dealing with here.

As we have underlined in the text 'The Myth of the Fatherland', the phenomenon of ethnic nationalism is devastating the world, like a bull dancing on the ruins of 'real socialism' and which feeds on the growing poverty produced hy the great offensive of capital since the beginning of the 70s, as much in the North as in the South of the planet. Ethnic nationalism takes on different forms and characteristics according to its place of origin. We would call the particular form that it takes in the Peru of today the 'indigenism of power'. Above all in order to distinguish it from the indigenism that has existed in other historical periods, and which as a result had a content and social base that was not necessarily identical.

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

the discussions at 'table 5' (entitled 'Many have lost their place in this world') we read: 'Respect for the identity of peoples must be recognised as a right that becomes political through the support of its full cultural and material development'. At the risk of seeming pedantic: support by whorn, if not by the State? To claim that government support self-determination, which if it is real—if it is not, precisely, a Right—would eliminate the latter, is either stupid or a mystification, subversive, never. To demonstrate this better: 'On the other hand, as well as trampling on the rights of their own ethnic groups, States deny other States the right to self-determination (United States—Cuba and the rest of Latin America)'. Self-determination of States?

And finally before ending, so as not to bore the reader any longer, here are the two final, piercing questions. First, 'Should specific cultural and socioeconomic regions within States acquire total autonomy or independence?' (Such a problem is of far greater interest to autonomist parties than it is to revolutionaries—which says a lot about the concept of autonomy). Second, 'We wonder whether the official left's lack of attendance at this meeting means that it has given up the struggle against neoliberalism?' (Bertinotti, where are you?) To wind up: 'parallel commercial networks', 'alternative tourism' and 'popular referenda' are solutions that all go perfectly well with the problems that have been raised.

'The society we are building does not have the traditional instruments and arms of the neo-liberal States, such as army, borders, nationalist ideologies', a member of the EZLN has stated. Not bad for an organisation calling itself the Zapatista National Liberation Army. Subcommander Marcos no less, in his final salute after having said poctically that 'the circle of power is closing in on the rebels, who nevertheless have the whole of humanity behind them at all times', affirms politically: 'We Zapatistas have proposed struggling for a better government

here in Mcxico'. As you can see, the Zapatista discourse works at three levels: the 'revolutionary government' for the Leninists; defence of democracy against 'neo-liberalism' for militants of the left wing parties; poetry against 'power' and the myth of sovereign assembly for libertarians. But reformism remains just that, even when it takes up arms, even when it talks ill of the powerful or claims, along with work, justice and a new constitution; even when it demands the right to dance.

Obviously, a slogan such as 'for humanity against neo-liberalism' caters for all tastes, just as it is obvious that the concept of 'hope' has a religious tinge to it. All the same, it is useful to criticise the real content of Zapatism, and certainly not so as to underestimate the revolts in Mexico or elsewhere (which should not be confused with their spectacular representation and commercial consumption). On the contrary, it is aimed at understanding them better and bringing about their globality; at realising the area of subversive theory and practice that has been colonised by the spectacle of revolution and movements which represent nothing but reformist negation. In other words, an anti-authoritarian and subversive International, an International that really knows how to upset the State's projects of death, has yet to be invented. Recognising and criticising its opposite is just the first step.

Massimo Passamani

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

this is the problem: what level of real despair do we have to reach in the face of everyday reality to have to hang on to the personality of a smooth talker? It is striking that in all the documents you have published, and despite the fact that access to the 'liberated' zones is relatively easy, there isn't one even slightly detailed description of everyday life, of labour, the sharing of tasks, the distribution of goods, of decision-making, of relations between the generations, between the sexes, of educations, as poetic as they might be, than to the mechanisms of the material and social functioning of the supposedly insurgent populations?

I haven't had the opportunity here to touch on the aspects of Mexico's role in the United States' economy, of the use the Mexican government is making of the EZLN in its international negotiations, or the insertion of Chiapas into the social tensions present in other areas of Mexico. It would be necessary to devote more time to these matters to understand the real and profound nature of what is happening in the Lacandon Forest and the surrounding area. But this will not change much in my basic position regarding the attitude you have adopted.

Marc Gcoffroy, Berlin June 1995.

of the strong man, the focus for the gazes of admiring women. A real caudillo.

This impression is reinforced by the fact that Marta Duren, who came to interview the Indians, ended up for 'practical reasons' (?) interviewing their interpreter'. Here we are once again faced with the delegation of power for life. And it doesn't bother her at all! Morcover, Marcos doesn't seem to be embarrassed either, at no time does he insist on playing the role of a real translator and allowing other 'fighters' a chance to speak, still less does he do it for simple peasants (or rather semi-proletarians as the text by Garcia Leon shows rather clearly, casting at least some doubt on the 'Indian' character of the movement, whereas, if there is a movement it is a social movement tied to the situation of this population in the overall production of society).

Let's talk about it a bit. In Latin America movements of land occupation by semi-proletarianised peasants (very often women) who oppose the exactions of the big landowners is an endemic phenomenon. On the one hand, these movements are an example of social struggle, of insubordination, hut on the other hand, they were never able to link up with urban social movements and are often impregnated with vague notions about land ownership, the 'return' to nature or a demand for financial and legal aid from the State, in such a way that the suhversive elements of modern society are rare in them. These movements have my full sympatily, but are far from giving me hope of a total overthrowing of the structures of capitalist society.

So, given that I already find it difficult to see a source of hope in these social movements, I am particularly depressed with individuals in Europe who identify with a social-revolutionary vision, get enthusiastic not about the social movement, but instead are apparently fascinated by

1 do not set past and present limits as limits to humanity and the future.

Ludwig Feuerbach, The Essence of Christianity.

Because instant admirers and the suddenly convinced are rarely the salt of the earth.

B. Traven, Dans l'Etat le plus libre du monde.

By way of introduction...

inegalitarian by definition and tends to enclose the exploited in struggle within specific categories, identity-based or otherwise. Anyone who is is close to accepting the unacceptuble. In the name of tactics, future demands are seen in decline. By yielding on essentials one becomes a things, a relationship that rightly deserves to be analysed. The task of strive to emphasize what there is that is autonomous in a struggle, and This means setting oneself aside from all paternalism, which is prepared to accept for others that which is unacceptable for themselves It is not our intention to reduce the collective revolt of the proleselves or, and this remains to be confirmed, that have grafted themselves on to their struggle. We believe there is a relationship between the two tarians of Chiapas to the organisational forms they have given themthose who choose social emancipation must always, as far as possible, criticise the organisations that claim to represent those who are fighting. disciple of realism and falls hehind statist projects promoted by hierarchical organisations.

they had been promised, and still have not read Bakunin... business? However that may be, the people of Nicaragua, plunged into took over from the Sandinista bureaucrats now recycled into the world of of the mice? Has the library been privatised by the neoliberal idiots who what became of these texts: were they 'subjected to the gnowing critique revolution. In all sincerity, and in all naivety too. Today one might ask and the collapse of the USSR did not give them time. At that time liber would thus be able to acquaint themselves with ideas that were forbidto her protests, a political commissar (even more revolutionary) explained texts in our friend's luggage and hastened to confiscate them. In response a zealous civil servant (revolutionary, of course) discovered anarchis ing that the glorious future was nigh in their little country. At the airport for admirers of authoritarian socialism: the commandantes were promis invited to the book fair in Managua (Nicaragua). Times were easier thei the nitsery of the post-revolutionary disaster, missed the glorious future tarians gave their energy, sometimes even their lives, to the Sandinista den to the people. As we know, the arrogance of American imperialism the stock of the Sandinista Central Committee's library. The commandantes iliat these books could not be allowed to circulate, but would go to enricl Towards the end of the 80s a friend, a publisher in Madrid, was

In the Golden Age of 'really nonexistant socialisism' trips were organized to the countries of the glorious future. The devont were invited to show their enthusiasm for a reality that was being stage-managed by the lords of the manor. People thus visited the USSR of soviet socialism, the China of Maoist socialism, the Albania of miniature socialism, the Cuba of bearded socialism, the Nicaragua of Sandinista socialism, etc. Woe betide those who contested the objective, scientific and indisputable nature of these fabricated realities. Until the day these systems collapsed. We thought we had seen but had seen nothing! Have we learned from all

Beyond the Balaelavas of Southeast Mexico

Europe without offering too much resistance and that their individual members were able to more or less survive. The Indian populations closest to forms of primitive communism put up a much more determined resistance. It was not possible to exploit them; they had to be liquidated. One can see the trace they left on the North American continent by the emptiness that remained, and which had to be filled by a massive supply of black slaves.

But let's return to the EZLN and its Sub-Commander. There's not just the 'people', there's also the national flag (sullied, of course), the country (sold, of course), national sovereignty, traitors to the country, and to top it off: 'everything for all, nothing for us'. In passing, this shows to what extent the EZLN ('us') and the movement ('all') are far from being united, but are instead opposed. I find this 'Serve the People' spirit of sacrifice altogether suspect.

And then there's the famous 'dialogue' that the EZLN wishes to have with the government. What's dialogue supposed to mean? How can there be a peaceful 'dialogue' between exploiters and exploited on the suppression of exploitation? This implicit recognition of the State as the appropriate institution to realize the bourgeois credo of 'peace, Justice and equality' says a lot about the non-subversive nature of the EZLN.

As for Sub-Commander Marcos, who admits he is nothing more than a recycled guerilla, he has shown proof of his intelligence, sense of humour and even a sense of poetry. I admit it freely. That docsn't change the fact that content is meager and that he enjoys playing the role of the modest hero, the unknown and mysterious personality with a masked face (Zorro!). I see in him all the signs of a certain style of Latin-American machismo. His disappointment at receiving little correspondence from women can be interpreted as ironic; for me, it has the nauseating stench

dlers and exploiter's of the world's nations, in the Third World and elsewhere, whose mouths run over with talk of 'their' people (which they belong to, obviously), when they are not its 'natural' spokesmen and it's a matter of defending or increasing their share of the profits extorted at the planetary level. When the word 'people' is being bandied about it's always the exploited in the flesh who risk having their chains modernised and being subjected by force to the dictatorship of capital. When one wants to see the Mexican government as nothing more than the agent of Annerican capitalism and the IMF one passes over in silence the existence of a national hourgeoisie (and even its competing factions) that is determined to defend its own interests within the capitalist system of exploitation, hy diplomacy or by arms (according to the circumstances) in the association of bandits that are the national States.

If this was really an 'Indian' movement it wouldn't give a damn about national borders. I will return to the subject of the social movement further on. But confusion has become total when people seem to say that the Indians are the exploited, as if blacks and whites were exploiters. It is true to say that in Latin America in general the majority of ruling classes are recruited among whites (not everywhere, as the case of Ilaiti shows); but the majority of whites and almost all blacks are among the exploited. One can't just ignore it. And then, how is it possible to see in Indian tradition the remembrance of a community that was supposedly free and autonomous. It was precisely linea and Maya societies that were characterised by a vast social hierarchy and brutal exploitation well before the arrival of the bloodthirsty conquistadores. Paradoxically, it's precisely because these indigenous populations had been subjected to indigenous exploitation for centuries that they submitted to the new exploitation from

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

poverty-stricken peasants in Brazil is significant.* Of course, the ubsence of hand, are unable to provide us with any informotion or direct account of armed struggle. Lastly, support for the EZLN has remained a prisoner of less everywhere, these support committees are keeping their eyes glued nation. Was it the mere evocation of Zapatu's name and the memory of Mexico-on-top-of-the-volcano' that seduced them? How can one naively what is actually happening in the Mexican countryside, whether it is about occupatious, ways of organising chosen by the peasants in struggle, or their political aims and perspectives.3 They are just as incopable of providing the slightest element of criticism that would allow as to deepen our understanding of the vangaardist organisation that is leading the the latter's essentially nationalist nature. While the social situation has become explosive in all the societies of Latin America, and movements concerning the land question are spreading and radicalising more or to Mexico. Their lack of interest in the revolts and recent massacres of the ished truths of traditional Marxist-Leninist politics have been upturned by the upheavals of the world. A new world order having replaced the old identity and are even ready to quote Bakunin, though out of prudence speare. That was enough for the libertarians of France and Navarre to convince themselves that this time it was for real, and that a political and military movement could become bearer of the ideals of social emancithraw oneself into supporting a movement that acts as a vehicle for the values of identity and patriotism, today in the heart of the most barbarous outbacks of the world? These disciples of zapatismo, on the other revolt in these regions division into two blacks, the political commissars have updated their they prefer the theological texts of Christian liberation or even Shakechorismatic leaders does not favour the fabricotion of a media speciacle. has moved North. In the Lacandon forest and surroandings, this? Apparently not! Today the epicentre of the

and generally lihertarian current seems to have been hit in full force by activism has made them incapable of resuming critical thought. As a soon as the anti-communist dimension disuppeared, the libertarian cur milien had in fact heen fuelled hy the existence of a 'brother enemy'. As really so if you cansider that the dynamism and polemical energy of this potence, and confusion is rampant. What might seem paradoxical is no opposite has happened. These currents have been dragged towards im take advantage of the idealogical vacuum left by this collapse, quite the the collupse of the State capitalist model. While some expected them to within which lihertarian and socialist circles are dehating. The anarchist preserves the idea of pluralist freedom that political parties lack. without the slightest hesitation, social movements in open revolt agains among the disciples of zapatism. One moves from Marcos to Guy Dehora anticapitalist lihertarian ethic are managing to survive. Confusion is rife has now become a global system. Many are those whose short-sighten rent was left with its weakness in analysis af modern capitalism, which still holds within it the idea of emancipation'.5 This gives an idea of the voices are being raised to maintain that: 'Even though the idea of nation was some attempt to tone down this support in the name of tactics. Now tionalist ideas that are at the heart of the Zapatista project. At first there serious is this milieu's willing suhmission to the identity-hased and na the system are put at the same level as the great patriotic masses of the Only those who are hanging on to the principles of an anti-State and result they are heing led in the direction of social democratic humanism distunce that has heen travelled in such a short space of time! In this though the nation has heen reduced to a purely fictitious condition, it has been sullied by the ideological use the bourgeoisie has made of it, it EZLN. Everything is the same, and lack of clarity ahounds. Even more The support movement for the EZLN is about to reveal the crisis

12

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

In Early 1995, the Hamburg magazine *Die Aktion* began a solidarity campaign for the Zapatistas (79. 'Our Solidarity with the Zapatistas', February 13, 1995, *Die Aktion. (Am Brink*, 10, 21029 Hamburg). This appeal was reprinted in the review Etcetera (Apt. 1.363, 08080 Barcelona).). This text was written as a reaction to this initiative.

On 'Solidarity with the Zapatistas

trefuse to sign your appeal or actively collaborate in the informational campaign that you have set up. I already do so little 'politically' that it would be a waste of time for me to get involved in it. Worse, it would virulently contradict beliefs I have held from the very beginnings of my political thought and activity.

Now all of a sudden I am supposed to support au army (how is it that individuals call their form of organisation by this name; it started me thinking), whereas I have always defended the idea that the social revolution always played itself out on the terrain of the organisation of production and distribution and not on the terrain of military confrontation. What's more, this 'army' calls itself a national liberation army. Does that remind you of anything? Apart from the fact that this word is part of the Stalino-Maoist-Guevarist tradition, how can anyone defend 'national' liberation, when I for one am convinced that the 'nation' is a structure proper to bourgeois society and that the emancipation of humanity must necessarily proceed via the bursting of this constraint to be able to affirm itself as the human community, the subject of its becoming? These two aspects have always constituted the ABC of my critical thought.

Having said this, there are a slew of other things. The permanent reference to the people, to the rights of people, to the honour of the Mexican people (or any other, by the way), to its blood and other nonsense

ticular the chapter 'La Saga des Orantes'.

63. Ibid. p. 205.

64. Ibid. p. 205.

65. Ibid. p. 308.

66. *Ibid*. p. 206.

67. Ibid. p. 211.

68. Ibid. p. 204.

69. Marcos, statement recorded by Regis Debray, 'La guerilla autrement', op. cit. Emphasis ours.

70. Since the signing of the NAFTA, the peso has lost 50% of its value, more than a thousand factories have closed, a million workers have been laid off and consumption has dropped by 25% (*Le Monde*, August 9, 1995).

71. Marcos, interview, La Jornada, Mexico, August 25-27, 1995, reprinted in Solidarité Chiapas no. 2, Paris, September 1995

72. Ibid

73. Ihid.

74. Interview with Marcos, Brecha, Montevideo: see note 36.

75. Ibid.

76. Ibid.

77. Despite the strengthening of patrols, the border between Mexico and the united states remains a sieve. Millions of Mexicans live and work in the united States, where their militant commitment is more and more visible in the schools, neighbourhoods and workplaces.

78. Ricardo Flores Magon, op. cit.

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

sense, infatuation with the zapastistas reveals the crisis in broad sectors of the libertarian milicu that are incapable of defending internationalist positions in the face of the consequences of the capitalist globalisation that is taking place.

Paris, May 1996

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

- 43. 'Year 03', text of a report by the EZLN support committees in Germany, Hamburg, February 18, 1996.
- 44. See the interview with commanders Acho and Moises, N. Arraitz, op. cit.
- 45. Ibid.
- 46. Note that this mystical version of politics is not very different from that of militant Islam.
- 47. Taken from *Téologia Pastoral Operaria* (Workers' Pastoral Theology –instructional texts of the Brazilian current of libertarian theology), Domingos Barbe, Sao Paolo, 1983.
- 48. John Ross, op. cit.
- 49. Ibid. At the time, Ruiz experienced first-hand the work of Maoists and 'progressive' priests in the town of northern Mexico.
- 50. The event is recounted by John Ross, ibid.
- 51. *Ibid.*
- 52. Samuel Ruiz, interview, El Paix, October 5, 1995.
- 53. Ibid.
- 54. Ibid.
- 55. Ibid.
- 56. 'Year 03', op. cit.
- 57. Katarina, op. cit.
- 58. A. Avendano (rebel governor of chiapas), interview, Solidarité Chiapas no. 2, Paris, September 1995. See also N. Arraitz. op. cit., p. 203.
- 59. Avendano, op. cit.
- 60. N. Arraitz, op. cit.
- 61. Words spoken by one of their militants, ibid., p. 204.
- 62. This information is taken from N. Arraitz, op. cit., see in par-

- 27. John Ross, op. cit. p. 278.
- 28. See the interesting analysis by Julio Mogel in La Jornada,
- June 19, 1994; quoted by John Ross, op. cit.
- 29. Salvador Castaneda, 'Things are Going to be Difficult for the EZLN', interview, Analyse & Kritik no. 373. Castaneda was one of the leaders of the MAR (Movimiento de Accion Revolucionaria), an armed struggle organisation of the 70s.
- 30. Ibid.
- 31. Ibid.
- 32. N. Arraitz, op. cit.
- 33. To deal with it, part of the Mexican far left proposed to the EZLN the constitution of a United Front of political organisations. Despite contacts with the EZLN, it refuses for the moment to consider any eventuality in which it would not have a dominant position.
- 34. Régis Debray, 'A demain Zapata', Le Moude, May 1995.
- 35. N. Arraitz, op. cit, p. 273.
- 36. Interview in La véridique légende du sous-commandant Marcos, a film by T. Brissac and T. Castillo, La SeoVArte, Paris 1995.
- 37. Marcos, Interview in *Brecha*, Montevideo, October 1995 (translated and published by *Alternative Libertaire*, Brussels, March 1996.
- 38. Declarations of principles of the EZLN quoted by N. Arraitz, ap. cit, cover page.
- 39. Marcos, interview, op. cit.
- 40. John Ross, op. cit. p. 294.
- 41. Interview with Tacho and Moises, N. Arraitz, op. cit., p. 343.
- 42. Interview, La véridique légende du sous-commandant Marcos,
- op. cu
- 43. 'Year 03', text of a report by the EZI.N support committees in

46

BEYOND THE BALACLAVAS OF SOUTHEAST MEXICO

The Indian communities: myth or alienation?

The authoritarian character of Maya and Inca societies is now an accepted fact. Despite that, the myth of an idyllic Indian community dies hard. This myth is partly sustained by the ideas that people have about community. As if the communitarian form of pre-capitalist societies somebour served to support a minority of aristocrats and priests who formed cratic decision-making' and present the rules that governed them as forms of primitive democracy is to ignore the authority of the elders and chiefs escaped the members of this community, and social cohesion was rooted treatises which diffused the norms and principles that were supposed to suhmission, deference by right. [...] Humiliate yourself, bow, bow down how precluded a tightly structured liferarchy, centralised power and barwhose territory included the Chiapas of today—the pcasants' surplus lathe ruling class of these city-states.6 To speak of 'local traditions of demowhich depended on a central theocracy to enforce orders and defend their interests. The organisation of social relations left little room for contestation or even discussion. In these communities, solidarity was that of constriction. Decision-making concerning the basic problems of material life in submission to authority. On this subject, it is enough to refer to Aztec guide social life: 'Be loving, grateful, respectful; be afraid, look with fear, be submissive, do what your mother's heart desires, and your father's too, because it is their due, their gift; because they are entitled to service, barous forms of exploitation of labour. Among the Mayas, for example-

your head, bow down!!'.

to have an effect on the formation of the Mexican nation been overthrown, taking the whole empire down with it. Just as the Mayas trary to the Aztec powers, there was no central authority that could have tribes of the periphery found themselves in an intermediate situation. 'Conbecame massacre and the survivors were reduced to slavery." The Mayan populations with no State framework, violence was not sufficient: war and the Moon. The transition—from Emperor to the Spanish tories over the 'structured' empires more easily than over the tribes that situation explains the resistance that some Mayan tribes put up against on the edges of the empire. They continued to pay tribute to their new more autonomy to the tribes and communities, especially to those living this way, since the Conquest, this region acquired a specificity which was did not make war in the habitual sense. They were jungle guerillas." In thanks to a recourse to violence. On the other hand, concerning the free encomendero—certainly did not take place peacefully; but was possible (forced statutory labour) for the Emperor or for the Temples of the Sun inhabitants of an empire like the Incas were already used to the corvées were not enclosed within statist forms. This can easily be explained. The the European conquerors. We know that the Spaniards won military vic masters while still respecting the old rules of the hierarchy. This new into decline. The authoritarianism that pervaded social relations did not disappear for all that, though the collapse of the old political system left In the 9th century, the Mayan empure, defeated by the Aztechs, fell

After being enslaved by the bureaucratic empires, then by the European colonisers, these Indian peoples were crushed by the capitalist machine. After being expelled from their communal lands many indigenous people became proletarians, subject to the violence of waged labour commodity relations. Those who are presenting themselves today as the

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

et la foret', Nicolas Arraitz, Tendre Venin, Editions du Phenomene, Paris, 1995.

- Nicolas Arraitz, Ibid, p. 219.. 5. Antonio Garcia de Leon, Los motivos de Chiapas, Barcclona, the journal Etcetera. November, 1995.
- 15. Antonio Garcia de Lvon, Los motivos de Chiapas
- Rebellion from the Roots, John Ross, Common Courage Press, 1995, p. 257.
- 17. Katerina, Mexico is not only Chiapas. Nor the Rebellion in Chiapas a Mexican Affair, March 1995, Hamburg.
- 18. Antonio Garcia de Leon, op. cit.
- 19. The poor peasants of Chiapas —where historically borders mean little—who is Indian? who is Mexican? who is Guatemalan? The devoted supporters of the Zapatista cause remain strangely silent about the presence of this body of intmigrants. What measure does the EZLN expect to take to solve this 'problem'? Is there a problem?
- 20. Antonio Garcia de Léon, op. cit
- 21. Nicolas Arraitz, op. cit.p. 221
- 22. Katarina, op. cit.
- 23. Today, 60% of the population of Chiapas is under 20.
- 24. Antonio Garcia de Leon, op.cit.
- 25. In this part of the text we have made extensive use of the work by John Ross, *Rebellion From the Roots*, see note 15, particularly the chapters 'Back to the Jungle' and 'Into the Zapatist Zone'.
- 26. This was when ties were established between the political bosses of the party in power, the PRI, and the leaders of *Politica Popular*: Two big Maoist leaders of that period are now high-ranking cadres of the PRI, in the official peasant organisation...: on this subject see John Ross, *op. cit.* p. 276.

his political conclusions (in which he tries to re-evaluate the democratic and nationalist positions of the EZLN insurgents), even less his contemptuous words about the 'complacent slaves' of the so-ealled developed societies. We must give him gredit for having been among the first to provide us with first-hand information about how people really live in these regions of insurgent Mexico, Chiapas and Guerrerro in particular. He was not satisfied with going to interview the leaders. He went into the occupied fineas.

- 4. See the appended text on the Brazılıan situation.
- 5. Yves Le Manach, 'La résignation est un suicide quotidien', Alternative Libertaire, Brussels, April 1996.
- 6. See: J.Eric S.Thompson, Grandeur et décadence de la civilisation maya', Paris, Bibliotheque Historique Payot, 1993.
- 7. Témoinages de l'ancienne parole', p.48, translated from Nuhauti by Jacquelinc de Thirand-Forest, Paris, La Difference, 1995.)
 - 8. Ruggiero Romano, Lex mèchanismes de la conquêre coloniale: les conquistadores (p.46), Paris, Flanunarion.)
- 9. Miehael Coe, The Mayas, quoted in 'Insurgent Mexico', Fifth Estate, Summer 1994 (French translation)).
- 10. Americo Nunes, Les révolutions du Méxique (p.151), Paris, Flanunarion, 1975) In this brilliant critique of the progressivist myths of the Mexican Revolution the author shows, in particular, that 'the libertarian watchward 'Land & Liberty' was falsely attributed to the Zapatista movement' whereas it was actually the slogan of the Magon brothers' (anarchist) liberal party. See also: Ricardo Flores Magon, La révolution méxicaine, Paris, Spartacus, 1979.
- 11. Ibid, pp. 148, 150.
- 13. Regarding this matter, see the interesting chapter, 'Le sang, le joug

44

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

representatives in arms of the 'Indian communities' never forget to patriotically proclaim their attachment to the ideals of Mexican independence! Yet we know that this was a crucial element in the transformation of the indigenous population into poor peasants and laudless proletarians. Almost a century later those who made up the largest part of the Zapatista army during the Mexican revolution came from the State of Morelos, 'virtually the only southern State where capitalist relations of production ruled everywhere'.

to go further in their emancipation. These peasants were deeply rooted in their land and traditions. Above all, they fought for the restoration of the rnunal life that had stirred up their revolt, it also explains their inability It is only 'revolutionary' insofar as it was a response to the aspirations of If it was their attachment to the aspirations of a past Indian com-For those looking for historical truth heyond legend, it seems that 'the Zapatista movement is not socialist, nor even 'progressive' in the sense of wanting to transform the whole of Mexico in a revolutionary way. (...) kind of rupture.' Or, if one prefers: "The traditionalism of the Zapatista movement was the basis of its solitude and isolation and, above all, its inconsistencies, amhiguities and profound contradictions. And this originality allowed it to survive; at the same time it legitimised its inability to ism's expansion has accelerated the destruction of traditional forms of expropriated communal lands and the right to own an individual plot. a communitarian Indian past (...). It neither supposes nor proposes any develop in a dynamic way towards its self-transformation and really leave its regionalist 'ghetto'.'11 Besides, it is significant that in the same period the government succeeded in temporarily pacifying the insurgent Yaquis by promising their chiefs that it would return the communal lands to them and build churches... 12. ... With the end of the revolution, capital-Indian community by integrating most of its members into the 'commu-

nity of capital.

gration which this periferal region of Mexican capitalism has undergone exploited into the hands of the big landowners. Only the development of nities whose democratic and emancipatory traditions are being mythified ployed in the coffee plantations.15 The ancestral values that remained communities only survived thanks to the wage labour of the Indians emexploiter and exploited in conserving the Indian communities to the ad nature and traditionalism of the Indian communities, mobilising those ruled there in an almost feudal manner. In a region in which the revolu for a considerable amount of time by the strength of the land uwners who The Chiapas revolt is the latest episode in the slow and particular inte forms were to set off revolts containing elements of social emancipation the proletarian condition and consequent breaking up of communitariar today, have for decades constituted the social structure that consigned the submission. rooted in their miserable material survival, are for the most part values of managed to survive on the communal land."14 Little by little, many of the feed all these mouths outside the harvest season, since they more or less Centro, the Fraylesea and Soconusco, who suddenly no longer needed to ian reform, were to become a perfect labour pool for the latifiendios of the tains of the Altos del Chiapas, divided by Cardenas' hypocritical agrar vantage of the big landowners in Chiapas. From the 40s, 'The arid mountion of the serfs.13 This resistance against the central bourgeoisie united they exploited against the official plan of agrarian reform and the libera tion had caused little upheaval, they were able to profit from the closed In Chiapas, the process of capitalist modernization was delayed These undoubtedly suited the big landowners. The commu-

The revolt of the 'New Hanged

Revolts of poor peasants and land occupations are endemic phe-

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

ing for that which is to come, should not be argued into this without thinking things out; they should not believe unconditionally; rather they should be filled with the consciousness that this Revolution is right and teasible, whereas that other bourgeois order is wrong and not feasible. The people who today carry within them the will to future development, should not work for the coming society by relying on the mind of a elever Fuhrer, but rather with their own minds, with their own souls.

But this they can do only when they know what it is all about, and when they also know and understand exactly what they themselves want.

- 1. The first version of the text Au-dela des passes-montagnes was written in 1995, after one of us was aroused to healthy anger against ronnantic support for the activity of the EZLN (see Annex 1). In response, some of our friends went through the roof, and a few insignificant enemies revealed themselves. How dare we criticise such a fine thing, which mobilised the youth and inspired the old activists? Radical publishers we contacted lacked enthusiasm. Finally, the text was distributed confidently via the local of a small anti-establishment association in Paris called La Bonne descente. While retaining the original spirit of the text, we reworked it by introducing into it additional analyses according to information gleaned from texts published since 1995.
- 2. In this vein see the last-minute account by one of the pillars of Parisian 'ready-to-think' upon his return from Chiapas: 'Marcos has the history of Mexico in his blood. A strange libertarian who thinks like a patriot, commands a hierarchical army and reacts in communitarian, not individualist, terms.' Regis Debray, 'La guerilla autrement', Le Monde, Paris, May 18, 1996.
- 3. One exception: the work by Nicolas Arraitz (*Tendre venin*, Edido not share the author's fascination with 'the difference', his analyses and

their ability to free themselves from the control of the burcaucratic organisations, both ancient (the PRI and the PRD) and modern (the EZLN). If they engage in autonomous and independent actions, they will discover the gap that exists between their class interests and the nationalist interests of these parties and organisations will widen. Then we will see the old caciques and the new leaders in balaclavas sitting together at the negotiating table, in a hurry to reject the 'unrealistic' demands of the young lumpenproletarian rebels. In showing 'proof of their responsibility', the new faceless leaders will reveal their true faces. As a revolutionary at the time of Zapata remarked, 'The cult of the personality can only win converts among the ignorant or those who are after public office and revenue."

Paris, August 1995

Sylvie Deneuve, Charles Reeve

Footnotes

* Translators' Note (T.N.): This is a translation from the French version. The English-language version, published in 'The Kidnapped Saint and other stories', reads: 'Such speedy cnthusiasm and specdily acquired convictions are seldom the salt to be used as seasoning in cases like these.' We prefer the above. The text continues: 'The real need is not to persuade the great masses, to whip them up to flaming enthusiasm, to move them to adopt a resolution. Rather the great need is to convince individual human beings. The people of the future, and the people who are prepar-

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

nomena in Latin American societies. In Mexico as elsewhere, the nature of these struggles has been affected by the convulsions of all the societies of the third world: expulsion of the poor peasants from the land, social exclusion, migration, proletarianisation. To understand the nature of the revolt in Chiapas we must take a brief look at the particularities of this region and the place it occupies in the development of social tensions in Mexico.

the peasants of the ejidos (communal land) and the small proprietors of numerous Indian peasants evicted from their individual plots of land began to emigrate to Chiapas. Although this movement was essentially sponlancous, it came to be encouraged by the government. The expulsados were urged to settle in the forest. 'Socially, the Lacondonian frontier was a safety valve; a region far from the centre of power, where the potentially explosive indigenous and peasant masses from Mexico's lower depths could be put to work. It was, if you will, a nature reserve for the poorest of the poor. 116 In just a few years, over 150,000 landless Indians settled in the forest and the mountains.17 Like any capitalist distribution of land, this came about in an unequal way. The new arrivals found themselves on the poorest land situated in the mountains and never had access to the fertile valleys. Shortly afterwards, this land was either abandoned (because it was too arid) or expropriated (by force or by legal means). The fact that these poor peasants were mainly Indians made it easier for the As a result of the survival of a quasi-feudal system of ownership, Chiapas were among the poorest of Mexico. All the same, by the late 50s, wealthy landowners linked to the agri-industry to seize their land.

The conditions for the emergence of new social conflicts now existed, and the 'safety valve' turned into a time bomb. The decline of the old Indian communities went side by side with the creation of a new poor peasantry, composed of a mixed population (Maya and non-Maya Indi-

ans and *métis*). Already, at the beginning of the 70s, 'the old communities, which had been structured in the past, began to show the effects of an intensive process of internal social differentiation which was eating into their mechanisms of cohesion and self-defence. Peasants with neither land nor work started to concentrate in the miserable suburbs (of the towns of Chiapas). At the beginning of the 80s the number of people available for work had doubled while at the same time, the scorched earth policy of the Rios Montt government in Guatemala, drove into Chiapas more than 80,000 Maya refugees who fled the neighbouring country to join the reserve army of labour on the Mexican side of the border.' The expropriated Indians were often marginalised since the landowners preferred to replace them with Guatemalan workers who were living even more precariously and were often in the country illegally. The communication of the country illegally.

In short, 'The old system of buying and selling and reproducing the workforce was thus disrupted without being replaced by a new system capable of absorbing a growing mass of unemployed agricultural workers. Despair and crisis had begun to produce their most perverse effects.' The social structure underwent a profound upheaval. The disarticulation of the countryside was accompanied by chaotic, uncontrolled urbanisation of the townsbips. 'Today, in Chiapas as in Guatemala, one can see all the forms of dispossession that are besieging the Indian communities'.

In Mexico, the poor peasantry's attachment to the land was imbued with the aspirations of the communitarian Indian past and reinforced by the heritage of the revolution. These aspirations faded with the expropriation of communal land and the introduction of capitalism into the countryside. A fcw references might help to understand this and to go beyond the myth of communitarianism. Family ownership of the communal land was the first step in this expropriation. Although almost one third of the land is part of the *ejidos* or belongs to the smallholders, only

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

cal Marxist-Leninist schema. 'A revolutionary process must begin by rediscovering the concept of nation and country'. Next, they propose a mystifying alternative to the capitalist globalization in course. Evidently the Zapatistas do not consider the present phase of globalization as an lustorical moment of capitalism. They present it as an aberration: 'The neo-liheral project implies this internationalisation of history, it implies wiping out national history and making it international. (...) The fact is that for Jinancial capital nothing exists, not even one's country or property.' The subcomandante cries with horror! To the Zapatistas internationalism is nothing but the sum of the fits of nationalism and protectionism against the capitalist system. The future they are proposing turns out to be the project of a bygone past.

The Future Still has a Face

The explosion of the Mexican crisis and its financial afternath have destroyed the myth of a neo-liheral economic miracle throughout the American continent. Thinking they are making a good deal with NAFTA, the American capitalists find themselves faced, in Mexico, with a situation that risks becoming explosive. Moreover, if there is an explosion, they will have to, on the one hand, deal with the discontent of the immigrant community—not just Mexican, but Hispanic in general)—in the United States itself⁷⁷ and, on the other, with the dangers of the spreading of the revolt to other countries of Latin America. Whatever happens, the political future of the FZLN-EZLN cannot be separated from the clashes taking place within the ruling class on the question of dependency concerning American capitalism. The Zapatistas' activity is now part of the scene of bourgeois politics and part of this undertaking from now on. The

want of being able to do so in a united front. Right off, the Zapatistas have they are continually addressing themselves to the 'true patriots', those tary coup. But, in the era of the 'new world order', they are heing forced From acknowledgement— of the destruction of nations by the movement And I am not referring to religious ethical and moral standards, but to what people used to call their country, their national feeling. In this sense ises the new neo-liberal phase of world capitalism. They present their patriotism as a response. And as 'it is very difficult to imagine that there it is up to the 'national liberation movement' to respond to this alone, for taken two big steps backwards. First of all, they are taking up the classimore they are running into the difficulties of such a project. That is why 'still feel this something that cannot be explained, that you feel in our' in its ranks. 'If there is a fascist outcome they will be able to do what national flag?'72 There is nothing new in this. These ridiculous outbursts are quite in keeping with the nature of the Zapatista leaders and are only to revise their analyses of the national question. Modernists, they are the banks and others have been very sensitive to the process of globaliza-I believe that Chomsky is right when he says that the nation-States are finished, and the property-owning or national governing classes have disyour heart, which is nationalism, the feeling of nation, one's History, one's country." In the face of the threat of military action, they evoke the fascist threat and appeal to the patriots of the army and the 'men of honthey want with this country: take the oil and everything...why not the too reminiscent of those of the Chilean left immediately hefore the millperching firmly on Chomsky, what with old Joe now out of the picture. of capital—comes their great regret: '...because in Mexico the ruling class, tion, to the point of forgetting all ethical or moral values and standards. appeared.73 For the Zapatistas 'national destruction' is what characterare still sectors of the government ready to defend the national project 774,

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

volt as a specifically Indian movement amounts to denying oneself the cities. 23 'Today, the Zapatista army is mainly made up of this mass of young, modern, emarginated people who speak various languages and have some experience of wage labour. They do not much resemble the isolated Indians one tends to imagine? 24 To insist on presenting the renecessary means with which to understand it. To go no further than the of the peasantry. In such a context, dominated by the private form of land effects of the expropriation of communal lands, a buffer-zone become a concentration of all the country's problems, Chiapas has become the powder-keg of Mexico at the very moment that the globalization of the economy is on the agenda. This revolt is a revolt of all the excluded, of the landless and unemployed proletarians, the emarginated, poor peasants and urban lumpenproletarians stuck where they are, between the forest, the mountains and the occan. It is the revolt of the 'new hanged'. In fact, the mass of young people has no access to the land and cannot find work in the ants, the banking sector took hold of the communal lands, forcing the poor peasants to 'become partners' with the rich landowners.27 The crisis of communal ownership thus led to a rapid process of proletarianisation ownership, the content of the demands of peasant struggles rarely went beyond the bounds of capitalist social relations. Quite naturally, the vanguardist political organisations that developed alongside the rural movements made respect for private ownership of the land one of the basic elements of their own reformist battle. The revolt in Chiapas occurred as this process was drawing to a close. The last region to suffer the vators of the ejidos (about 80%) are now also forced to work for the big he communal land is. Around the 80s, the expropriation of the ejidos was speeded up everywhere. Through the expedient of indebting the peas-10% of the ejidos are cultivated collectively. Moreover, most of the cultilandowners if they want to survive, which gives some idea of how poor

EZLN's democratic demands is to refuse to see that the political goals of the organisations speaking in the name of the peoples involved may well fall short of the latter's aspirations and rage. Moreover, it is unlikely that the young rebels of Chiapas are fighting for land, be it private or collective

From Mao to Marcos: The Success of the EZLN

in this terrain, the Mexican Maoists inevitably came to meet older mili course of grassroots democracy. While organising their 'political work project of an authoritarian vanguard organisation taking over and into the open, its decisions always being presented as the result of consul spheres of social life and end up controlling these poor areas. Tacties objective was to create 'red bases': a network of organisations to cover al shanty-towns to spring up—a favourable terrain for militant leftists. Their strators in the Plaza of the Three Cultures in Mexico City. At the same masses, Maoists and priests rapidly reached an agreement. Out of their tants, progressive Catholic priests. Each competing for control of the same nipulating masses of people by masking itself with the demagogic dis tation with the masses in committees and assemblies. This is the classic tion: the political leadership of the Organisation was never to come ou were taken from the leftist tendencies of the Chinese Cultural Revolu northern part of the country, where the rural exodus had led huge areas of activities on the 'working masses'. It implanted itself in the cities in the Politica Popular decided to leave the student milieu to concentrate its far left. Following these tragic events, the Marxist-Leninist-Maoist group time, a savage repression was unleashed against the organisations of the ness of an unprecedented student movement, massaere some 300 demon-In October 1968, the Mexican government, astounded by the vast CIT

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

out under the cover of repayment of debt-has reactivated these changeover to American control of Mexican oil-an operation carried between this tendency and the defenders of American interests. of the neo-liberal Catholic current of the National Action Party (PAN), a ever, this alliance did not survive the development of the situation. The arena. At first, the left wing of the PRD tried to ally itself with the EZLN's social democratic opposition—united around the Revolutionary Democratic antagonisms and heightened the bourgeoisie's nationalist sentiments. The multinational capitalist circles might have become a factor in the conflict it to NAFTA demands. For their part, the EZLN leaders know perfectly bourgeoisie. Their differences became more pronounced after the electrade union structures and its influence in the media at its disposal. Howleadership by putting its own institutional connections, its political and Party (PRD)—has also been forced to find a new place in the political place in the political vacuum that exists to the left of the PRD. power to represent the marginalised and excluded strata of the prolealone. On the other hand, the Zapatistas are in a position to negotiate the that they are not in a position to demand the power of the central State well, bearing in mind the historical situation and the balance of power. new political force that is promising to clean up the State whilst adapting tions of August '94 which saw the defeat of the PRD and the rise to power the PRD, which was too compromised by certain sectors of the Mexican EZLN could not let its activity be integrated into the national strategy of their actions. Having become the FZLN, the EZLN is trying to occupy a tariat, a power that they have gained thanks to the sympathy aroused by

The importance the FZLN attaches to patriotism thus assumes its full significance. The Zapatistas are presenting themselves more and more as guardians of the values of Mexican nationalism. More and more they are seeking alliances with sectors of the political class. And more and

ment is to create a formal legal framework to regulate a process that has been underway for years: the donnination of the United States over its two neighbouring countries, Canada to the north and Mexico to the south. Given its structural economic fragility, Mexico is experiencing the ravages of the worst recession since the 30s. Investment is declining, noncompetitive industrial units are closing, unemployment is skyrocketing, inflation is reaching record levels, traditional agricultural production has been destroyed and the majority of the population pauperised70 Added to all this there is a drastic disruption of the ruling class, as the Mexican economy is characterised by powerful State intervention. The breaking of the tics built over decades between the bureaucracy of the sole party—tbe PRI-and the private capitalist class is now on the agenda. As a result, the whole system of patronage and corruption has been threatened. The tenness has become the norm and the situation is such that there is a breakdown of the political class—the Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI)—and the bureaucratic control of civil society is not recent: the stueral' tendency is demanding the liquidation of the bureaucratic constraints dent revolts of the 60s and the movements of self-organisation that followed the earthquake in Mexico had already announced this. Today rotthat constitute the basis of survival of the PRI's antiquated sections. Of on any clear-cut basis, because many advocates of neo-liberalism also bloody settling of accounts at the very summit of the PRI. The 'neo-libcourse, alliances between the different tendencies are far from being made where, members of the State bureaucracy are becoming fierce defenders come from the corrupt and speculative sectors of the PRI. Here, as elseof unrestrained private capitalism.

Within the Mexican bourgeoisie there are many who would prefer not to conform to the demands of North American capitalism. We can assume that the EZLN's military action and the worry it has caused in

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

miraculous cooperation was to come 'Torreonism' (from the name of the big northern town Torreon), the Mexican model for 'working on the masses'.25 In the mid-70s the Mexican government, worried hy the success of this current, unleashed savage repression against it in the course of which many militants were killed. Again, the leadership of the Organisation revised its positions: the 'mass line', which puts the entphasis on political work in the urban areas, was replaced by the 'proletarian line', giving priority to their implantation among the poor peasants. In fact, the adoption of this new line signified for the Mexican Maoists their withdrawal to areas where they thought they would be less exposed to repression: it was their 'Long March'. This was a troubled time in the life tion', splits, renunciation and internal settling of scores.26 So it is not of the group, characterised by a whole succession of failed 'implantauntil the end of the 70s that the first 'brigades' of the Maoist vanguard arrived in Chiapas, where they met their 'fellow travellers' of the 'progressive' church, who were already present in the poor peasant conumu-

It is not easy today to establish a clear linear link between this organisation's period of implantation and that of the birth in the EZLN. What we can be certain of is the existence of this link. After some time other Maoist groups arrived in Chiapas. Marcos himself belonged to one of the last 'brigades' it seems.²⁷ Many militants and political leaders disappeared as a result of the merciless repression carried out by the army and mercenaries employed by the landowners. As for the survivints, they had to revise sonic of their ideas in accordance with local conditions. Lastly, it is well known that the basic tactics of the leftist Maoists began to reappear in the peasant struggles: the constant recourse to assemblies as a means of hiding and protecting the political leadership.

Like their Peruvian counterparts of the Shining Path, the Mexi-

rural communities and the proletarianisation of Indian peasants. The crea second phase, the political organisation adapted its conception of leaderplantation' was doomed to failure if it were to limit itself to actions taken foco (insurrectional hotbeds). They had understood that political 'imcan Maoists had, in their own way, criticised the Guevarist idea of the political intelligence that its militants displayed throughout this long pe success of the neo-Zapatista organisation is explained in large part by the EZLN and Marcos's statements often come back to this question. The one of the organisation's structures; it is its visible part. The texts of the Zapatista army that has come from these 'mass' organisations, is mercly political effort of 'implantation'28 among the local population. Today, the creation of the military organisation was to be the final phase of a long for armed action had arrived. Actually, and according to this model, the defended by the 'indigenous Church' - had become outdated. The time conceptions—demanded by the self-sufficient Indian communities and work of creating a 'mass base' had been completed and the 'regionalist' national organisation. This represented a fundamental political leap: the tion of peasant union organisations corresponded to this second phase. In ship to the new historical conditions, characterised by the breakdown of using, among other things, their links with the 'indigenous Church'. In a groups of militants had to integrate themselves into the communities by proclaimed the uniqueness of Indian culture from the start. The smal hostile to everything that came from outside. For tactical reasons they by a small group parachuted into closed Indian communities which were 1991 the 'Emiliano Zapata Independent Peasants' Alliance' became a

Yet the strategy of the EZLN is criticised by other currents of the Mexican vanguardist far left, who have doubts concerning its chances of success. They define the EZLN a 'reformist armed organisation', whose

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

tutes a weakness therefore, if we are speaking in terms of developing the exploited's capacity for autonomous initiative. As long as the Mexican proletarians do not give themselves the means to overcome their own weaknesses, as long as they count on the strength of the EZLN alone, they will be swindled. Because replacing autonomous strength with the strength of the party is a vanguard organisation's raison d'etre.

Patriots Against Neo-Liberalism, or the Impasses of the EZLN

was to break the links of this or that country with American imperialism. powers. However, the objective of the so-called 'liberation' movements the alignment of the new roling class with one or other of the capitalist going through a particular historical period. In the era of the world's nationalist project can no longer aspire to such a rupture. Any vanguardist tionalism of the new States in formation. Since the establishment of the At the time, the Marxist-Leninist ideology identified itself with the naseparation into two blocs, any project of national independence involved that exploit the anti-imperialist sentiment that is still very much alive in demned to disappear. As well as putting forward nationalist demands organisation must review its tactics and strategies so as not to be conmust integrate into local political life and make alliances solely within the countries dependant on the capitalist centres, this type of organisation new world order' born of the collapse of the State capitalist system, the the context of the contradictions within the ruling classes The events in Chiapas are unfolding at a time when capitalism is

.'•

We know that the EZLN's military action in Chiapas was unleashed at the same time as the NAFTA—the free trade agreement between the three countries of North America—came into effect. The aim of this agree-

movements that have put the question of the agricultural production in the regime of the Popular Union in Chilc (1970-1973) or again Stakhanovite kind) to see the premise of a social revolution in all this. You feel a kind of crazy joy to see them taking freely from the bosses' stores, invite us to a three course lunch, come back from the fields covered in sweat but with their faces glowing with satisfaction, joking loudly with those among them who punch the very cards used by the administration to count the baskets [of coffee] picked by each worker.'67 Unfortunately, in Chiapas we are far from seeing the beginnings of a transformation of social relations, let alone a subversion of capitalist relations. The situation cannot be compared with other recent experiences of peasant of rupture, whether it he in Sandinist Nicaragua (1979-1982) or during the Portughese revolution of 1974. The agri-commerce multinationals, like the hig Mexican landowners, are hardly threatened by the peasant movement in Chiapas. In the same way there are few references in the EZLN's discourse to a project of reorganising production and society on a new hasis, and the weakness of its proposals on the social question is noticeable.

Certainly, 'The Zapatista uprising has created a new reality, a new balance of power, and has permitted the realisation of old dreams that were unattainable until then. ⁶⁸ The EZLN is double-dealing the young lumpen-proletarians who make up its base. It provides them with a collective identity at a time of intense social destructuring, but channels their revolt into a military framework, thus making it controllable and negotiable in high places. The EZLN is a factor of social pacification in Chiapas today and its leaders do not hesitate to point this out. 'If we were to disappear everything would become wild and bopeless. It would be Yugoslavia in southern Mexico. The federal State would no longer have interlocutors, only enemies. ⁶⁹ This 'new balance of power' also consti-

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

that matters, not what you say. If you start to struggle, if you put people in social isolation explains its emphasis on negotiation: 'How can a national liberation army claim to negotiate its true objective of seizing power? And how can one negotiate with the State over such an objective?'29 The spond to its true nature, with the tactical aim of masking its own weak-First, on vanguardism: 'The EZLN continue to maintain they are cisely what they are, even if they maintain the opposite. It's what you do different camps, then you must take responsibility for this, organise the esistance and coordinate the forces that are involved. 30 Then on the They have always lived 'with peace' and that is how they keep power. (...) when things start to get serious, will not want to hear any more talk of Zapatistas? 11 The EZLN has no choice: it must play for time, create a support movement outside Chiapas, hence the constant appeal to 'civil society'. But in the long run, insistence on negotiation inevitably leads to here. And the local people prefer to say: 'The people in rebellion', 32 Here EZLN has apparently built itself a media image which does not correnot a vanguard. This leads to confusion. Of course a vanguard is prequestion of the demand for peace, 'Peace is fine for the ruling classes. Marcos is constantly calling for the support of sectors of society who, stagnation in the organisation's positions and the end of outside support. But, in reality, what the Zapatistas are cruelly lacking in right now is massive support from the street, such as in January (1995) when they demanded a ceasefire. And the too little criticised vagueness of 'civil society' that turns out to be no more than a miserable poultice, without any strength of its own. The only place where it is a powerful reality, is we have reached the core of our critique. The EZLN's originality is at the point of becoming its greatest weakness. For ten years this movement was able to take advantage of the particular conditions of implantation in a geographically isolated region where problems concerning security forces

and armed confrontation were nonexistent. This isolation, which permitted it to develop easily, has now become a trap. As soon as the EZLN appeared openly, it was encircled militarily, isolated and deprived of any possible line of retreat in the case of attack by the Mexican army.³³ Indigenous Democracy in the Age of the Internet

is equal, we could add cynically. For his part, the subcomandante justifies go out of their way to reassure us that Marcos talks in the name of the as they like and expand in their own way." Enthusiastic militants are characters in tales or short stories. With this flaunted but collective subsurprising. He is an 'artist' and 'the best contemporary Latin American and writes in the name of all the others. The fact that some of the caviar of the leader is not the end of the leader; on the contrary it is the abstract one man. The mask says that no one is irreplaceable? 35 Because everyone and explain that: 'The mask says that all can speak through the mouth of out substituting itself for it, an open and mutable 'l' that anyone can take jectivity, he invents a new way of saying 'l' that resonates like 'we' with (Marcos) doesn't speak on their behalf, he turns his companions into writer', the representative 'of a handful of very gifted young people'. 'He form of authority. The cult of the hero is not superceded—it appears in its the fact that he is a faceless caudillo". 5 For us, of course, the anonymity himself: 'What is new is not the absence of the caudillo; what is new is round in circles. Lastly, others do not fear the stench of totalitarianism can hear is Marcos? Only Marcos is able to do it, of course! And we caudillism. But how do you recognise the voice of the people if all people of whom he is merely their spokesman. There is no danger of occasionally embarrassed by the spectacle of the subcomandante. They lest bourgeois defend Marcos because of an elitist conception, is hardly The voices of the rebels of Chiapas are reduced to one alone, which speak Speech control is one aspect of the bureaucratic nature of the EZLN

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

sation of work itself has not been changed. It is difficult to understand the organisational relationship that has been created between the militants who lead the occupations and the mass of those who are working, if only that the leaders seem to work less (or not at all...) and have a tendency to express themselves as bosses (for example, 'We prefer to lose the harvest rather than hire employees'). Who runs things and how? Finally, the marketing network remains the same. Learning that the mafiosi-like intermediaries constitute the social base of the party in power (the PRI), one can see how they are not too worried about the occupations. Besides, the local shopkeepers are delighted because the peasants are now spending their pay directly at their stores, without passing through those located on the properties. Here a particularly obscure and worrying aspect should be emphasized. It seems that on the occupied lands the old Guatemalau immigrant workers had been dismissed in the name of a so-called refusal of the *villista* militants to 'become exploiters in turn'. 64

pations and be paid like the Indians, unless xenophobia and Mexican patriotism have got the better of them. The impression one gets from the examples and the information available tells us that the peasants are not particularly interested in the land or its collective use. Attempts to help them get their production going again have met with little enthusiasm⁶⁵ and where the land has been occupied, the idea of dividing it up has only been put forward vaguely.⁶⁶ The occupations seem to have been lived more as an act of class revenge on the big landowners, the poor peasants being aware of the fragility of their own forces. Once the land is occupied they content themselves with producing at subsistence level. It is true that for some years now landowners have considered the local workforce to be vindictive and are replacing them with immigrant workers.

è

You need to have a good dose of romantic naivety (of the

market economy.⁵⁷ Meanwhile, the Zapatista operations have encouraged the peasants to occupy land not only in Chiapas, but also in other southern States. At the beginning of '95, in the State of Chiapas alone, there were more than 500 squatted properties. The pro-Zapatista politicos do not try to hide it: '(The peasants) had been trying to get the land through the use of legal means for so long, without any result, that in desperation they have begun to occupy the land. The government has had them evicted, but as soon as that happens the peasants take the land back again'.⁵⁸ However, preoccupied about the need to negotiate with the power structure, they seem to be somewhat afraid of this movement. For the occasion, they trot out the same old song about manipulation of the masses and acts of provocation. 'While the peasants are occupied with expulsion orders and the legal struggle, the government distracts them to prevent them from participating in the great national Consultation (organised by the ETIMA) ⁵⁹

In all the pages written glorifying the revolt in Chiapas it is very hard to find any material on the real movement of the individuals engaged in these occupations. Which makes the rare documentation that does mention them all the more precious.⁶⁰ It turns out that the most active militants 'on the ground' are not linked to the EZLN, but to another organisation, the *Union Campesina y Popular Francisco Filla*. Though they also support the Zapatistas, the villistas do not seem to agree with guerilla action and are critical of negotiating tactics. They say they prefer 'the defence of reclaimed land and the training of the 'companeros'.⁶¹ These political divergences may also explain the Zapatista's attitude towards a movement of occupation which is escaping them. How do the workers organise production on the occupied properties? It seems that piece work continues there, even if it no longer has duties assigned daily⁶² and the pay has heen increased. Lastly, the organi-

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

pure form. Modernity presents itself to us in the form of a caricature of the past: we thought we'd got rid of Bolshevik vanguardism only to wind up with the vanguardism of Zorro. The EZLN is dirigism in a democratic halactava

However, a careful reading of the EZLN's prose reveals the existence of a clear separation between 'us' (the liheration army) and 'them' (the masses). The clear-sighted observer would have no difficulty in discerning in these words the basic principles of leftist Maoism and the 'torreonism' of the 70s. The Zapatista organisation conforms to the model: assemblies at the base, clandestine political committees at the apex (the General Command to which Marcos is answerable). We are also told that the organisation cunsults tirelessly with the base: there are plebiscites, assemblies, referendums.

deed, as soon as you get beyond the hackneyed phrases and attempt to identify the real content of the structures that will wield power, approximation is the rule. The man who uses the modern Internet network to spread his own texts reveals himself to be a confirmed devotee of the past: yse it and resolve it together... This form of democracy is innate and natural, there is no need to teach it. It comes from our ancestors and their ancestors and is passed on for life.137 What one might dare to ask about the mythical content of this communitarian democracy would be somedemocratic concerns. To the point that, intoxicated with fine words, sharp When a community has a problem, it meets in assembly, the people anaches that his audience like to hear. He speaks unflaggingly of the EZLN's minds begin to doubt whether he himself even believes a word of it. Intonomous democracy for all (sic) levels of Mexican society', of a 'new political synthesis' etc. In interview after interview, communique after It's a 'democratic political process', a 'new political project', 'aucommunique, Marcos repeats his uwn litany made up of democratic cli-

what disapproved of. Haven't we been told that 'indigenous democracy does not come out of drawing rooms. It is discussed up hill and down dale, it condenses in the surroundings, in the rivers, the water holes and the caves. You don't see it, you feel it.' ³⁸ Assured of the respectful silence of his interlocutors, Marcos does not hesitate to propose this model of representation as a model of government for modern societies, apparently without realising that he is merely proposing a simplified version of what already exists. 'Let us organise the world this way, let us wield power, nominate someone to represent us; but we're going to watch him, and if he doesn't do his job we'll remove him, take it from him as is done in the Indian communities'. ³⁹

cussed. Cuba is isolated; no one wants to hear talk of armed struggle any mala, a peace agreement was signed; in El Salvador, peace is being dis any socialist (sic) camp; in Nicaragua we lost the elections; in Guate for them, was socialism: 'The Soviet Union is finished—there is no longer the most part they limit themselves to noting the disappearance of what as far as describe them as 'countries that were able to live freely'. I For exploitative nature of the systems that have collapsed. Sometimes they go the new world order. Its Marxist-Leninist cadres have never criticised the the post-communist period to try to find a way of operating in the era of division of the world into two blocs. It is the first guerilla movement of situation born out of the collapse of State capitalism and the end of the situation. In fact the EZLN has shown considerable ability to adapt to a defects of Maoist extremism, has lad no difficulty in adapting to the new fanatical patriotism.*40 Patriotic hysteria, which was one of the grossess their actions still couldn't help but notice that 'Marcos himself exudes alongside that of communitarian democracy. An observer sympathetic to more, even less about socialism; from now on everyone is against revolu-Patriotic nationalism is the second pillar of the EZLN's discourse

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

force insofar as it allows women to escape the social relations of the traditional communities. Nonetheless, it is still the case that no details are given about the new relations created inside the 'liberated' zones. It is to be feared that the militarisation of women will replace their submission to communitarian relations. And we should point out that, apart from a few rare remarks from the 'comandantes', women's words are hardly to be found in the texts of the EZLN.

The land Question:

The EZLN Between Occupation and Negotiation

elitist argument: the weak people need an armed wing capable of defend ers (the 'white guard'). Its support for the laud occupations is tinud, to without restraint by the armed mercenaries in the pay of the big landownlacks the means to intervene and the rebel peasants are then fired on small territory. When clashes take place beyond the controlled zone, it cal armed group, it is the armed wing of an organisation that controls a ing them. Reality would appear to be different. The EZLN is not a classiexistence constitutes a rampart, a poor people's force of self-defence facof part of the land of the great plantations, incitement to found cooperaquestion: the Revolutionary Law on Agrarian Reform. Its content is parsay the least. On this latter question, the EZLN has some difficulty in ing the State and the capitalists. And this is, of course, an extremely the need to nationalise the marketing boards, all in within a context of tives and production collectives on the land that has been expropriated ticularly moderate: it talks of respect for private property, expropriation workers. Of course, the EZLN has a programmatic position on the land linking up with the direct action of the poor peasants and agricultural EZLN sympathisers want at all costs to have us believe that its

male violence. In Chiapas, the birth rate is very high, an average of about seven children per woman. '60% of the population is under 20; many adolescent girls are sold into marriage before they turn fifteen. I17 women out of every 100,000 die in childbirth (the highest cause of death in Mexico), and the infant mortality rate is double the national rate. Lastly, 30-40% of women speak only one (indigenous) language, and 60% cannot read or write.'5 It is certainly true that the EZLN has been particularly attractive to women, who constitute around one third of the troops and more than half of the rank and file militants. This phenomenon is not particular to the situation in Chiapas; it is common to all the societies caught up in the process of transformation in which guerilla groups have formed. It was definitely under the pressure of the women who left the communities to go and fight, that the EZLN decreed its law regarding women at the start of the insurrection.

By their communities that the Zapatista leaders continue to present as the Indian communities that the Zapatista leaders continue to present as the new model of democracy to establish everywhere. On the other hand, women's integration into military structures remains the surest way to defuse the subversive potential of their choice to break with the past. Any desire to transform the social relations between the sexes is thus nipped in the bud. Recent historical experience shows that women are often used in the struggle and then subordinated to new general interests, indeed, to new policies favouring a higher birth rate. The example of Algeria should be enough to make us doubt the social 'gains' that the EZLN leaders like to take credit for. Since when has women's participation in military tasks and their rise in the chain of command been proof of women's emancipation? One can claim that 'the insurrection itself represents a process of revolution in traditional life and relationships of domination." It is an indisputable fact that the guerilla army is a modernist

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

tion, socialist or not.¹⁴² So, what is left for those Marxist-Leninists who have lost the support of the 'brother countries' other than attachment to a crude anti-imperialist patriotism, praise for the nation and respect for parliamentary democracy. The EZLN is not a movement that 'unites the past with the future', ¹⁴³ less still is it the 'first revolution of the century to come'. It is a movement of the past that is trying to adapt to the new givens of a present which has no future. It is the last old-style movement of a century that is drawing to a close.

The interests of God, and women have had enough

the positions of this religious current: rejection of central power, cult of ples of liberation theology: there are 'false ideas' and 'true ideas', just as there is a false and true interpretation of the Gospel, depending on one's the community, etc. When one of the comandantes says: 'If Christ gave is life, if he let his blood be sbed to liberate his brothers, I think we shall ans, who were the majority in Chiapas, accepted this agreement which sts used it as a means to infiltrate the communities. Many Indian cadres more, their political reasoning is impregnated with the simplistic principerspective. Several themes of the EZLN's ideology fit in perfectly with have the same weapons', 45 He is just repeating the assertion of liberation and the local Catholic Church reached an understanding. The political ciple of the autonomy of dioceses and the ability of rank and file militants allowed them to continue their 'work on people's souls', while the Maoof the EZLN were recruited in this manner following their local involvenent in the religious communities and peasant organisations.44 Further-We have seen that, from the beginning, the Marxist-Leninist groups nulitants adapted very well to an 'indigenous Church' based on the prino carry out the task of evangelisation and celchrating mass. The Domini-

theology which presents militant political struggle as the path to the realisation of the Kingdom of God on earth. For liberation theology, access to religious 'grace' is accomplished by commitment as a militant. Grace is the gift that convinces a person to trust. From trust comes unity. And unity permits organisation. In this sense grace is opposed to the existing power structure.

This being the case, it would be a mistake to conclude that the Church and the EZLN have the same strategy. In its own way, the party represented by the Catholic church is trying to take advantage of the situation and pursue the aims that are characteristic of it. All the more so because, since the 60s, Protestant sects have been competing with the Catholic ones for control of people's souls. Tens of thousands of Indian peasants in Chiapas were expelled from their communal lands on the pretext that they converted to Protestantism and went to join 'the expelled ones' in the mountains.⁴⁸

The EZLN could not ignore this religious competition. That is why it emphasises its independence from the Churches and accepts evangelists and members of other Protestant sects. For their part, the functionaries of the Catholic church distinguish themselves from the EZLN while at the same time respecting its political activity. The priest Ruiz, Bishop of San Cristobal and a key personality in the negotiations between the EZLN and the regime is, moreover, an old connoisseur of Mexican leftists, whom he has frequented since the 70s.49

In 1990, while the EZLN continued its militant work in clandestinity, the priest Ruiz and his underlings posted photos of foetuses on the facade of the Cathedral of San Cristobal. They wished, in this way, to protest against the law on the right to interrupt pregnancy, which had just been passed by the provincial parliament. As it was everywhere, the question of reproduction was a political matter of social control, and the

ď

Beyond the Balaclavas of Southeast Mexico

it.355 So convergence with the EZLN comes out in the enc at the extermination of the black community.) While they're at it they ception and interruption of pregnancy are part of a plan by whites aiming currents of North American black Islam, for which the right to contra ists and that the problem is 'to know who controls it and who distributed support the political struggle, claiming that the means of subsistence ex World'.53 It is interesting to compare this position with those of the racis: and the priests reject the struggle for birth control as a theory of the 'Firs not adult as long as he is unmarried." Community cohesion is necessary older man, the mature man, is invariably the head of the family. A man is cnous priests... And for a reason: 'In the indigenous communities, the munities can elect deacons, but the fact is that there are still no indigproblem. Ruiz and his clique understood this and tried to join the parade cause nun can fulfil the responsibilities of the Church office without any in the communities because their organisation is more flexible and besimple matter of theology. The Protestants implanted themselves easily ness is at stake. Because competition from the Protestants is not just a party in Mexico] saw in it a means to reduce the birth rate among the for the survival of the party of the Catholic Church (as it is for the EZLN According to the 'Catechism of Exodus' of the 'progressives' the comcclibacy of the priesthood. He knows that the survival of his little busirevealed its reactionary nature without any qualms. Today, Ruiz passes poor. The celebrated 'progressive Church' --- an ally of the Zapatistas-for a Church 'dissident', among other things because he criticises the caciques of the PRI, [Partido Revolucionario Institucional, the raling

For anyone who hasn't understood it yet, these macho and prorising birth rate discourses do not call into question the living conditions of women in the communities. In these poor regions, women's living conditions are extremely harsh; alcoholism wreaks havoc and increases